

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/521,217	07/14/2005	Duncan Roy Coupland	JMYT-340US	2763	
23122 RATNERPRE	7590 04/28/200 STIA	8	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 980			YEE, DEBORAH		
VALLEY FOR	RGE, PA 19482-0980		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1793		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/28/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/521,217	DUNCAN ROY COUPLAND ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Deborah Yee	1793		

	Deborah Yee	1793				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 17 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AI	LOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>N The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: 1 box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1	n).	TINOT KELET WAS IT	LLD WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of firm may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL						
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMAZINA.</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS						
<ol> <li>∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t</li> <li>∑ They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> <li>∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>∑ They are not deemed to place the application in better</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);				
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1:						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
Newly proposed or amended claim(s)would be all non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \( \begin{align*} \) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xpianation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>6,7 and 16</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>0.57 and 16.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a			
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).					
	/Deborah Yee / Primary Examiner					

Art Unit: 1793

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly proposed claim 22 recites "Pt" without a wt% range. This raises a new matter issue since no clear descriptive support exists for this limitation in the original disclosure. Note support is only shown for 0.1 to 0.5% Pt on lines 1 and 2 of page 4 in applicants' specification. Amendment would be entered if 0.1 to 0.5% Pt is incorporated into claim 22, and misspelling in newly proposed claim 24 is corrected, wherein "allow" should be "alloy".

To add clarify to claims, it is also recommended to use language such as —wherein Iridium comprises the balance of the alloy—and to recite the series of elements in markush such as —one or more elements selected from the group consisting of Ta, Nb, Mo, Cr, Ce, Sc, Lu, Co, Ni, Hf, Y, I, Ru and Pd-.

Title of application should be change to aptly recite --- Iridium alloy---.